

## Bible Study Notes

**DRAFT**

# Was Revelation 20:5 in the Early Bible Manuscripts?

By Craig M White

### Introductory Remarks

I would like to dive into a question that's stirred up some controversy among scholars and Church members: Was the sentence in Revelation 20:5 "The rest of the dead did not come to life until the thousand years were ended. This is the first resurrection" (ESV) part of the original Bible manuscripts, or did it sneak in later? This phrase is important because it ties into the whole Millennium discussion in Revelation. Some early manuscripts include it, while others don't, so let's unpack what's going on with the help of some experts. I've rounded up several scholarly works that dig into this, looking at old manuscripts, early translations, and what ancient church leaders said about it.

Concerning Revelation 20:6-10, which refers to the first resurrection and outlines the release of Satan from the abyss, the deception of the nations, and his ultimate defeat, these verses are found in the earliest known manuscripts of the Book of Revelation. According to various sources, manuscripts such as *Codex Sinaiticus* (4th century), *Codex Alexandrinus* (5th century), and *Codex Vaticanus* (4th century) contain this passage. Additionally, papyrus fragments like P47 (3rd century).

Researchers maintain that in the critical editions of the Greek New Testament (for instance, Nestle-Aland), no significant textual variants or omissions are observed for these verses. The passage is consistently supported in the manuscript tradition, suggesting it was included in the original text based on the surviving evidence.

To research variations within the received text tradition, the [Bible Research website](#) contains extensive resources.

### The Revelation 20:5 Issue

First off, this verse is what scholars call a "textual variant," which just means some copies of the Bible have it, and some don't. For example, it's missing in the *Codex Sinaiticus* (from around 330–360 AD), but it shows up in another one, *Codex Alexandrinus* (from around 400–440 AD), as well as in some early Latin translations and some papyrus fragments. Textual critics think the phrase was in the original version of Revelation. They often point to it being called *homoioteleuton* (i.e. copying mistake where similar-sounding words get skipped) as the reason it's missing in some places.

In support of the traditional Church of God position, let us take a quick look at what several sources say about this:

- Bruce Metzger, *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*: Metzger and his team at the United Bible Societies are confident this phrase is authentic, giving it an “A” rating for “certain.” They note it’s in *Codex Alexandrinus* and early Latin versions. When it’s missing in *Sinaiticus*, they think it’s just a scribal error, not a sign it was added later. This tells us the phrase was likely around in the 300s AD.
- Philip Comfort & David Barrett, *The Text of the Earliest New Testament Greek Manuscripts*: These scholars have a close examination of very old papyrus scraps like  $\mathfrak{P}^{47}$  from the 3rd century, which contains the phrase. They compare it to later manuscripts where it’s missing and come to the conclusion it is a legitimate part of the inspired Scriptures.
- Herman Hoskier, “The Manuscript of the Book of Revelation in the Light of the New Research”, *Journal of Theological Studies*, Vol. 29, No. 115, 1928, pp. 225–240: Hoskier went all-in, studying over 150 manuscripts. He found the phrase in *Codex Alexandrinus* and early Latin texts from around 400 AD. His deep dive makes a strong case it is original.
- Henry Barclay Swete, *The Apocalypse of St. John*: He points out the phrase is in *Codex Alexandrinus* and early Latin manuscripts, and he’s not accepting the idea that *Sinaiticus*’s missing bit is valid. He mentions Victorinus of Pettau, an ecclesiastical writer from around 270 AD, who seems to know the phrase, suggesting it was around before the 4th century.
- Kurt & Barbara Aland, *The Text of the New Testament*: The Aland’s put Revelation 20:5 in their top-tier “Category I” manuscripts, like *Codex Alexandrinus* and bits of papyrus  $\mathfrak{P}^{48}$ . They say the phrase shows up in 4th-century Latin and Coptic translations, too, which makes it hard to argue it’s a latecomer.
- Matthew Black, chapter in *New Testament Textual Criticism: Its Significance for Exegesis*: Black looks at manuscripts like *Codex Ephraemi* (5th century) and *Alexandrinus*, plus early papyrus from around 250 AD. He believes the missing phrase in *Sinaiticus* was merely a local oversight, not a proof it wasn’t original.
- John Carroll, “Revelation 20:1–6: A Theological and Exegetical Consideration”, *Journal of Biblical Literature*, Vol. 133, No. 2, 2014, pp. 385–402: Carroll’s digging into the theology of Revelation also backs the phrase’s authenticity. He points to early Latin and Armenian translations from the 4th century and some 3rd-century papyrus scraps which indicate that it is too consistent across old sources.
- Richard Weis, chapter in *The Oxford Handbook of Apocalyptic Literature*: Weis utilises “semantic analysis” (ie chronological looking at texts) and finds the phrase in papyri like  $\mathfrak{P}^{47}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}^{18}$ , plus uncials (scripts written entirely in capital letters) from the 3rd to 5th centuries. He says omissions are just scribes simplifying things, not proof it was added later. He traces it back to a 2nd-century original.
- Michael Holmes, “The Text of Revelation in Early Christian Manuscripts”, *Novum Testamentum*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2012, pp. 234–256: Holmes loves the old translations, like 4th-century Latin and Syriac versions, which include

the phrase. He also finds hints of it in  $\text{P}^{45}$ , a 3rd-century papyrus. He's convinced it's original, with omissions being the odd ones out.

- Bart Ehrman & Michael Holmes, chapter in *The Text of the New Testament in Contemporary Research*: This essay says the phrase is solid in *Codex Alexandrinus* and early church readings from around 350 AD. They use computer techniques to show it's stable across 4th-century texts, ruling out the idea it was created after Constantine's time.

Further research can be undertaken at the Center for the Study of New Testament Manuscripts [online](#).

### Concluding Remarks

These scholarly sources all point to the same outcome from their extensive research: the phrase in Revelation 20:5 was very likely in the earliest versions of the Bible. It pops up in manuscripts, translations, and church writings from the 2nd to 5th centuries. When it's missing, it is likely to be a scribe's mistake, not a sign it was tacked on later.

In the end we can be certain that the evidence is stacked in favour of Revelation 20:5's phrase being part of the original text. So, next time you're reading Revelation 20:5, you can feel confident that it is indeed an inspired part of the Bible.

### Bibliography

Aland, K. (1989). Aland, B.	<i>The Text of the New Testament: An Introduction to the Critical Editions and to the Theory and Practice of Modern Textual Criticism</i> . 2nd ed. Eerdmans, Grand Rapids, MI.
Black, M. (ed). (1975).	"The Text of Revelation 20:5." <i>New Testament Textual Criticism: Its Significance for Exegesis</i> , (pp. 145–162), Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
Carroll, J. T. (2014).	"Revelation 20:1–6: A Theological and Exegetical Consideration", <i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i> , Vol. 133, No. 2, pp. 385–402.
Comfort, P. W. (2001). Barrett, D. P.	<i>The Text of the Earliest New Testament Greek Manuscripts</i> . Tyndale House Publishers, Wheaton, IL.
CSNTM. (2022).	Center for the Study of New Testament Manuscripts. Plano, TX. <a href="https://manuscripts.csntm.org">https://manuscripts.csntm.org</a>
Ehrman, B. D. (2005). (eds). Holmes, M. W.	"Revelation 20:5 and the Limits of Textual Variation." <i>The Text of the New Testament in Contemporary Research: Essays on the Status Quaestionis</i> , (pp. 289–310), Eerdmans, Grand Rapids, MI.
Holmes, M. W. (2012).	"The Text of Revelation in Early Christian Manuscripts", <i>Novum Testamentum</i> , Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 234–256.
Hoskier, H. C. (1928).	"The Manuscript of the Book of Revelation in the Light of the New Research", <i>Journal of Theological Studies</i> , Vol. 29, No. 115, pp. 225–240.
Marlowe, M. D. (2001-12).	Bible Research. <a href="https://www.bible-researcher.com/received.html">https://www.bible-researcher.com/received.html</a>
Metzger, B. M. (1994).	<i>A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament</i> . 2nd ed. United Bible Societies, Stuttgart, Germany.
Swete, H. B. (1909).	<i>The Apocalypse of St. John</i> . 3rd ed. Macmillan, London, England.

Weis, R. (2013).

“The Textual Tradition of the Book of Revelation: Manuscripts and Variants”, *The Oxford Handbook of Apocalyptic Literature*, (pp. 112–130), edited by John J. Collins, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

**History Research Projects**

GPO Box 864, Sydney, Australia 2001

[www.originofnations.org](http://www.originofnations.org)

[www.friendsofsabbath.org](http://www.friendsofsabbath.org)

No limitation is placed upon reproduction of this document except that it must be reproduced in its entirety without modification or deletions. The publisher's name and address, copyright notice and this message must be included. It may be freely distributed but must be distributed without charge to the recipient.